

Supplementary Deferred CompensationPremises

1. Congress has given a 3.75% greater annuity multiplier to employees under the CIA Retirement System in return for mandatory retirement at age 60, disciplined obligation to serve as required, hardships, and hazard. (Congress also gave the privilege of retirement at age 50 - a costly benefit even though not widely used so far.)

2. CIA's age 60 retirement policy for employees in the Civil Service Retirement System is an impingement upon normal Government employee career employment expectations (if not rights), dictated by the special needs of the Agency and, therefore, deserving of compensation to employees affected.

3. Compensation granted must be considerably less than that afforded those in the CIARS, i.e., somewhere between 0 and 3.75%.

Examples if equivalent of a 1 or 2% increase in annuity multiplier were granted.

Example 1 - Male employee - high five salary \$10,000, 30 years of service

1% x \$10,000 (high five) = \$100/year

2% x \$10,000 (high five) = \$200/year

Without survivorship benefits

A \$100 annuity would cost $\frac{100}{1000}$ x \$12,182* = \$1218

A \$200 annuity would cost $\frac{200}{1000}$ x \$12,182* = \$2436

With 55% survivorship benefits

A \$100 annuity would cost $\frac{100}{1000}$ x \$14,557* = \$1456

A \$200 annuity would cost $\frac{200}{1000}$ x \$14,557* = \$2811

*Per the [REDACTED] Letter of 29 May 1968

- 2 -

Example 2 - Male employee - high five salary \$20, 000, 30 years of service

1% x \$20, 000 (high five) = \$200/year

2% x \$20, 000 (high five) = \$400/year

Without survivorship benefits

A \$200 annuity would cost $\frac{200}{1000}$ x \$12, 182* = \$2436

A \$400 annuity would cost $\frac{400}{1000}$ x \$12, 182* = \$4872

With 55% survivorship benefits

A \$200 annuity would cost $\frac{200}{1000}$ x \$14, 557* = \$2911

A \$400 annuity would cost $\frac{400}{1000}$ x \$14, 557* = \$5822

*Per the [REDACTED] Letter of 29 May 1968

25X1A

Cost of \$1000 paid-up annuity at age 60 - male

Comments

1. Employees could be given option between paid up annuity or lump sum cash payment. Latter would be preferred choice of most employees. Former would reduce Agency costs if handled by controlled company.

2. Since high five salary is normally the final five years of employment, it would be logical and sound to accrue the desired amount of deferred compensation during the five years preceeding retirement date at a fixed percentage rate of compensation.

3. If the monies accrued are invested during the 5 year span, costs to the Agency could be reduced by the amount of interest received.

- 3 -

Comparisons

Employee in Example 1:

If under CIARS annuity would be $60\% \times \$10,000 = \6000

If under Civil Service annuity would be $56.25\% \times \$10,000 = \underline{5625}$
Difference $\$ \underline{375}$

If under Civil Service plus deferred compensation
equivalent to 1% $\$5725$
equivalent to 2% $\$5825$

25X1A

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Executive Director-Comptroller 7E12 Hqs.	6/15	W
2	[REDACTED]		
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: <p>You might be interested in this illustrative worksheet I prepared and sent to Personnel. If serious attention is given to "deferred compensation" for employees under Civil Service retiring at age 60, this type of computation will help in establishing the appropriate "rate" and in developing cost estimates. There will, of course, be tremendous savings both in salary and annuity costs. I urge serious consideration.</p>			
[REDACTED]			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
SA-DD/S/SS UNCLASSIFIED			6/12/68

25X1A

*Don't sure I
understand the default
compensation plan -
I assume it would
require legislation -
if so, don't hold
your breath.*

*Please be cautious
about writing papers
which imply that
we are depriving people
of their rights. We
don't want our policy
to come unstuck again.*

25X1A